

This discussion paper is/has been under review for the journal Geoscientific Model Development (GMD). Please refer to the corresponding final paper in GMD if available.

# The implementation of NEMS GFS Aerosol Component (NGAC) Version 1.0 for global dust forecasting at NOAA/NCEP

C.-H. Lu<sup>1,2</sup>, A. da Silva<sup>3</sup>, J. Wang<sup>2</sup>, S. Moorthi<sup>4</sup>, M. Chin<sup>3</sup>, P. Colarco<sup>3</sup>, Y. Tang<sup>5</sup>,  
P. S. Bhattacharjee<sup>2</sup>, S.-P. Chen<sup>1</sup>, H.-Y. Chuang<sup>4</sup>, H.-M. H. Juang<sup>4</sup>, J. McQueen<sup>4</sup>,  
and M. Iredell<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>University at Albany, State University of New York, Albany, NY, USA

<sup>2</sup>I. M. Systems Group, Inc. at NOAA/NWS National Centers for Environmental Prediction,  
College Park, MD, USA

<sup>3</sup>NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, MD, USA

<sup>4</sup>NOAA/NWS National Centers for Environmental Prediction, College Park, MD, USA

<sup>5</sup>NOAA/OAR Air Resources Laboratory, College Park, MD, USA

Received: 23 October 2015 – Accepted: 27 November 2015 – Published: 15 January 2016

Correspondence to: C.-H. Lu (clu4@albany.edu)

Published by Copernicus Publications on behalf of the European Geosciences Union.

**GMDD**

doi:10.5194/gmd-2015-236

**The implementation  
of NEMS GFS  
Aerosol Component  
(NGAC) Version 1.0**

C.-H. Lu et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



## Abstract

The NOAA National Centers for Environmental Prediction (NCEP) implemented NEMS GFS Aerosol Component (NGAC) for global dust forecasting in collaboration with NASA Goddard Space Flight Center (GSFC). NGAC Version 1.0 has been providing 5 day dust forecasts at  $1^\circ \times 1^\circ$  resolution on a global scale, once per day at 00:00 Coordinated Universal Time (UTC), since September 2012. This is the first global system capable of interactive atmosphere aerosol forecasting at NCEP. The implementation of NGAC V1.0 reflects an effective and efficient transitioning of NASA research advances to NCEP operations, paving the way for NCEP to provide global aerosol products serving a wide range of stakeholders as well as to allow the effects of aerosols on weather forecasts and climate prediction to be considered.

## 1 Introduction

Aerosols affect the energy balance of Earth's atmosphere through the absorption and scattering of solar and thermal radiation (Mitchell, 1971). Aerosols also affect Earth's climate through their effects on cloud microphysics, reflectance, and precipitation (Twomey, 1974; Albrecht, 1989; Jones et al., 1994; Lohmann et al., 2000). In addition to modulate Earth's climate and hydrological cycle (Ramanathan et al., 2001), aerosols are important for atmospheric chemistry, the biosphere, and public health. Aerosols can be viewed in their role as air pollutants because of their adverse health effects (Pöschl, 2005). Long range transport of aerosols can affect the air quality and visibility far from the source regions (Prospero, 1999; Jaffe et al., 2003; Colarco et al., 2004). In addition, aerosols may play a significant role in atmospheric oxidation processes (Andreae and Crutzen, 1997; Dickerson et al., 1997). Large amount of mineral dust are deposited to the oceans (Duce et al., 1991; Prospero et al., 1996) and the atmospheric input is found to be important for marine productivity (Chen et al., 2007).

**GMDD**

doi:10.5194/gmd-2015-236

## The implementation of NEMS GFS Aerosol Component (NGAC) Version 1.0

C.-H. Lu et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion







contributes to the NCEP production suite but also to the international efforts for multi-model ensembles.

In this paper, we describe the development and implementation of NGAC V1.0 at NCEP. In Sect. 2, we describe the model configuration. In Sect. 3, we present the operational implementation of NGAC V1.0. In Sect. 4, we present the results of NGAC V1.0 forecasts and the comparisons to other global aerosol models and observations. In Sect. 5, we demonstrate two examples of NGAC V1.0 applications. Section 6 provides concluding remarks.

## 2 Model configuration

### 2.1 Atmospheric model: NEMS GFS

The efforts to develop a unified modeling framework to streamline the interaction of forecast, analysis, and post-processing systems within NCEP have been underway since late 2000 (Black et al., 2007, 2009). Specifically, NCEP is developing NEMS (<http://www.emc.ncep.noaa.gov/index.php?branch=NEMS>) with a component-based architecture following the Earth System Modeling Framework (ESMF, see <http://www.earthsystemmodeling.org>). The ESMF is a community effort to promote the exchange and reusability of earth system modeling components and to facilitate faster knowledge transfer and technology adaptation. The ESMF collaboration involves many of the major climate, weather and data assimilation efforts in the United States, including NOAA/NCEP, NASA/GMAO, NRL, NOAA Geophysical Fluid Dynamics Laboratory (GFDL), and the National Center for Atmospheric Research (NCAR).

The development of NEMS aims to develop a common superstructure for NCEP production suite. Other motivations include: (1) to reduce overhead costs and provide a flexible infrastructure in the operational environment, (2) to modularize large pieces of the systems with ESMF components and interfaces, and (3) to enable NOAA con-

# GMDD

doi:10.5194/gmd-2015-236

## The implementation of NEMS GFS Aerosol Component (NGAC) Version 1.0

C.-H. Lu et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion











## 2.3 Coupling NEMS GFS with GOCART

The GOCART grid component originally developed for GEOS-4/5 is fairly independent of the host atmospheric model, encapsulating the basic aerosol production and loss functionality. Consistent with standard ESMF architecture, the interfaces linking GOCART and NEMS GFS have been isolated into coupler components. Figure 3 shows the integration run stream of NGAC. Coupler components are built to transfer and transform the data between NEMS GFS and GOCART. The physics-to-chemistry coupler performs several tasks including: (i) the vertical flip for 3-dimensional fields, as GOCART is top-down while NEMS GFS is bottom-up, (ii) unit conversion as different units are used in NEMS GFS and GOCART for some fields such as precipitation rate, and (iii) the calculations for these fields needed by GOCART such as inferring relative humidity and air density from ambient temperature and moisture fields. After running GOCART, the chemistry-to-physics coupler transfers updated 3-dimensional aerosol fields and 2-dimensional aerosol diagnosis fields from GOCART to NEMS GFS.

Despite the ESMF flavor in how GOCART is implemented, GOCART is incorporated into NEMS GFS as a column process similar to how ozone physics was incorporated. It updates 3-dimensional aerosol loading after physical processes, ran at the same grid as physics and dynamics, and is fully coupled with physics and dynamics at each time step. The advantages for taking the so-called on-line approach include: (1) consistency: no spatial-temporal interpolation and the use of the same physics parameterization, (2) efficiency: lower overall CPU costs and easier data management, and (3) interaction: allows for aerosol feedback to meteorology. The aspect of aerosol feedback is critical since the ultimate goal for the development of NGAC is to provide improved estimates of atmospheric aerosols for improving NCEP's medium range weather forecasts and seasonal climate prediction.

## The implementation of NEMS GFS Aerosol Component (NGAC) Version 1.0

C.-H. Lu et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



### 3 NGAC V1.0 operational implementation

A phased approach is used to manage the operational implementation of NGAC at NCEP. The first phase is to produce dust-only guidance, the second phase is to produce the full suite of aerosol forecasts (including dust, sea salt, sulfate, and carbonaceous aerosols), and the third phase is to produce aerosol analysis using NGAC forecasts as first guess. Only the initial deployment undertaken in 2012 is discussed in this paper.

Effective on 11 September 2012, starting with the 00:00 UTC cycle, NCEP begins to run and disseminate data from the NGAC V1.0 system at T126 L64 resolution. It provides 5 day dust forecasts, once per day for the 00:00 UTC cycle. Dust initial conditions are taken from the 24 h NGAC V1.0 forecast from previous day while meteorological initial conditions are down-scaled from high-resolution Global Data Assimilation System (GDAS) analysis.

Model configurations in NGAC V1.0 are same as those specified in operational high-resolution GFS runs with the following exceptions. First, NGAC uses Relaxed Arakawa–Schubert scheme (the RAS scheme, Moorthi and Suarez, 1992, 1999) while GFS uses Simplified Arakawa–Schubert scheme (the SAS scheme, Han and Pan, 2011). Enhanced tracer treatment (e.g., convective transport and tracer scavenging) has been incorporated into the RAS scheme, providing critical capability needed for aerosol modeling. Second, NGAC V1.0 is run at lower spatial resolution (T126 L64, ~ 110 km) than GFS. In February 2015, GFS was upgraded from Eulerian T574 (~ 27 km) to Semi-Lagrangian T1534 (~ 13 km). NGAC V1.0, however, remains Eulerian T126 as of October 2015. Third, NGAC V1.0 produces history files and post-processed products concurrently while GFS produces post-processed products after history files being written out.

Note both GFS and NGAC use climatological aerosol database in the radiation package. This aerosol-radiation decoupled configuration that GOCART aerosols are not radiatively coupled to the AGCM is intended to facilitate aerosol modeling development

## GMDD

doi:10.5194/gmd-2015-236

### The implementation of NEMS GFS Aerosol Component (NGAC) Version 1.0

C.-H. Lu et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



in the near term and will be changed once the prognostic aerosol capability reaches desired maturity level.

Daily web-based presentation of NGAC V1.0 forecasts is available at the following location: <http://www.emc.ncep.noaa.gov/gmb/NGAC/html/realtime.ngac.html>

The EMC NGAC website displays Aerosol Optical Depth (AOD) at 550 nm and surface mass concentrations over global domain and several regional domains (e.g., trans-Atlantic region, Asia, and Continental US (CONUS) regions).

## 4 NGAC results

In this section, the results of operational NGAC V1.0 forecasts are presented.

### 4.1 Dust emissions and budget

Figure 4 shows the annual dust emissions calculated from the first year NGAC V1.0 production (the September 2012–September 2013 period). The similarity between the annual dust emissions and the dust source function (shown in Fig. 2) indicates that the source function is of central importance in determining dust uplifting relative to other parameters. In the Ginoux et al. (2001) dust emission scheme, a global scaling factor ( $C$ , equal to  $1 \mu\text{g s}^2 \text{m}^{-5}$ ) is used to scale total dust flux to yield a total emission about 1800–2000  $\text{Tg yr}^{-1}$ . This factor is adjusted to  $0.375 \mu\text{g s}^2 \text{m}^{-5}$  in GEOS-4/5 owing to differences in the GEOS-4 meteorology relative to previous version of GEOS-DAS assimilated meteorology. As we adopted the on-line version of GOCART from GEOS-4/5 into NEMS GFS, the scaling factor is reverted back to  $1 \mu\text{g s}^2 \text{m}^{-5}$  to account for the differences in AGCM and resolution (i.e.,  $0.25^\circ$  resolution for GEOS-5 and  $\sim 1^\circ$  resolution for NEMS GFS).

Table 1 provides a summary of NGAC V1.0 calculated annual emission, burden, and lifetime (or atmospheric residence time) relative to other similar global aerosol models, including three versions of GOCART results and the models participating in the Aerosol

## The implementation of NEMS GFS Aerosol Component (NGAC) Version 1.0

C.-H. Lu et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Comparisons between Observations and Models (AeroCom) model intercomparison studies (<http://aerocom.met.no/aerocomhome.html>). The three GOCART results are from on-line GEOS4-GOCART (Colarco et al., 2010) and off-line GOCART CTM driven by two versions of GEOS DAS meteorological analyses (Chin et al., 2009; Ginoux et al., 2001).

Large difference (diversity) are found in emissions, burdens, and lifetimes within the AeroCom models, which is primarily related to the differences in the emission parameterizations, the particles sizes, the meteorological fields and model configuration used in the individual models (Textor et al., 2006). The simulated total dust emissions, annual burden, and lifetime in NGAC V1.0 are within the range of the AeroCom models. The annual emissions are similar in NGAC V1.0 and on-line GEOS4-GOCART (1980 vs. 1970 Tg yr<sup>-1</sup>). In NGAC V1.0, the lifetime is about one and a half day shorter than in on-line GEOS4-GOCART (4.3 days vs. 5.85 days) and the annual burden is about 30 % lower (21.9 vs. 31.6 Tg). The results suggest more efficient removal processes in NGAC V1.0 than in GEOS4.

## 4.2 Aerosol optical depth

In this section we present the results of NGAC V1.0 dust distributions. We first compare NGAC dust AOD to dust AOD from GEOS-5 and total AOD from MODIS onboard Terra. Figure 5 shows seasonal dust distributions over the subtropical Atlantic region. The source regions over the Sahara and Sahel are clearly shown as well as the patterns of long-range dust transport. Trade winds steer African dust westward across the Atlantic ocean, covering vast areas of the North Atlantic and sometime reaching the Americas (e.g., the Caribbean, southeastern United States, Central America, and Amazon basin). This has implications for air quality, public health, climate, and biogeochemical cycle. For instance, about half of the annual dust supply to the Amazon basin is emitted from a single source in the Sahara, the Bodele depression (Koren et al., 2006).

# GMDD

doi:10.5194/gmd-2015-236

## The implementation of NEMS GFS Aerosol Component (NGAC) Version 1.0

C.-H. Lu et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



## The implementation of NEMS GFS Aerosol Component (NGAC) Version 1.0

C.-H. Lu et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



While elevated dust off the western Africa coast is persistent through the seasons, the models and satellite observations show a clear latitudinal shift of the dust plume over the tropical Atlantic from winter to summer. This seasonal shift has been attributed to the movements of the Inter Tropical Convergence Zone (ITCZ) which occupies its southernmost location in winter and northernmost location in summer (Huser et al., 1997; Ginoux et al., 2001). The results are consistent with the seasonal cycle discussed in Knippertz and Todd (2012) in which detailed descriptions of meteorological processes controlling the emissions and transport of African dust are provided.

The comparison of model forecasts to L1.5 AERosol ROBotic NETwork (AERONET) AOD at 550 nm for 2013–2014 period at two dust-prone stations is shown in Fig. 6. AERONET AOD at 550 nm is computed using logarithmic interpolation between AOD values at 440 and 675 nm. We bin the AERONET observations within one-hour time window centered at NGAC synoptic output times of 03:00, 06:00, 09:00, 12:00, 15:00, 18:00, 21:00, and 24:00 UTC. The calculation of monthly mean requires a minimum of 5 days with valid values. We show the comparison of the model AOD to AERONET observations, including the time series, a scatter plot, a fractional distribution histogram (PDF) of the model and observed AOD, and some statistics including mean biases, root-mean-square errors, linear fit parameters, and correlation coefficients. The Dakar site is located in Senegal, North Africa near the dust source region. The Cape Verde site is an ocean site influenced by transport of dust from Saharan sources. At both sites, NGAC V1.0 simulations are found to capture the seasonal variability in the dust loading. Overall, NGAC V1.0 shows similar seasonal variability to and is well correlated with the AERONET observations.

NCEP is currently working toward the phase-two NGAC implementation (i.e., full-suite of aerosols including dust, sea salt, sulfate, and carbonaceous aerosols using near-real-time smoke emissions from satellite fire products). The planned NGAC upgrade will produce total AOD, allowing us to evaluate NGAC results beyond dust-dominated regions.

## 5 NGAC applications

NGAC V1.0 provides 2- and 3-dimensional aerosol products at  $1^\circ \times 1^\circ$  resolution on a global scale. Potential usage for these aerosol products includes, but is not limited to: AOD at 340 nm for UV index forecasts; AOD at 550 nm for multi-model ensemble and aerosol data assimilation; AOD at 860 nm for Advanced Very High Resolution Radiometer (AVHRR) SST retrievals; AOD at 11.1  $\mu\text{m}$  for the Atmospheric Infrared Sounder (AIRS) temperature retrievals; three dimensional dust mixing ratios for atmospheric correction; dust column mass density, emission and removal fluxes for aerosol budget study; dust deposition fluxes for ocean productivity and dust surface mass concentrations for air quality. Here we present two examples of NGAC product applications.

### 5.1 Multi-model ensemble

The International Cooperative for Aerosol Prediction (ICAP), consisting of forecasting center model developers and remote sensing data providers, began meeting in April 2010 to discuss issues relevant to the operational aerosol forecasting (Benedetti, 2011; Reid et al., 2011). ICAP members created a developmental global multiple-model ensemble (MME) to explore probabilistic aerosol prediction and assess relative differences among models (Sessions et al., 2015). Consensus ICAP forecasting began in early 2011 and the experimental ICAP-MME became quasi-operational for public release in 2015. Current ICAP MME products include total AOD ensemble from four complete aerosol forecast models from NRL, ECMWF, JMA, and GMAO and three dust-only models from NCEP, UKMO, and BSC. Figure 7 shows the dust AOD from ICAP-MME (with 7 members) and NGAC V1.0, valid at 12:00 UTC 4 July 2015. Spatial pattern of dust loading from NGAC V1.0 is consistent with the ICAP-MME, with elevated dust AOD located in the Sahara, the Arabian Peninsula, and Asia as well as evident long range trans-Atlantic transport of Saharan dust reaching southeastern United States.

Dust forecasts from NGAC V1.0 also contributes the regional multi-model ensemble produced by WMO Sand and Dust Storm Warning Advisory and Assessment System

## GMDD

doi:10.5194/gmd-2015-236

### The implementation of NEMS GFS Aerosol Component (NGAC) Version 1.0

C.-H. Lu et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



(SDS-WAS) Regional Center for Northern Africa, Middle East, and Europe, hosted at BSC, Spain (<http://sds-was.aemet.es>). Figure 8 shows the dust AOD regional ensemble products valid at 12:00 UTC 4 July 2015. The multi-model products describing centrality (multi-model median and mean) and spread (standard deviation and range of variation) are generated from multiple global and regional forecast models daily.

Participation in ICAP-MME and WMO SDS-WAS multi-model ensemble provides a continuous and independent assessment of the quality of NCEP global dust products. Overall, NGAC V1.0 forecasts are found to be comparable to that produced by other domestic and international modeling centers.

## 5.2 Dynamic lateral boundary conditions for regional models

An example on using NGAC dust information to improve regional air quality forecasts is presented here. Under a NOAA-EPA partnership, NOAA is undertaking the responsibility to develop and maintain the National Air Quality Forecasting (AQF) system (Davidson et al., 2004). The AQF system is based on EPA Community Multi-scale Air Quality (CMAQ) model driven by meteorological forecasts from NCEP North American Meso (NAM) Model. Static lateral boundary conditions (LBCs) assuming no dust from outside the model boundary are currently used.

Two CMAQ runs are conducted for the July 2010 period. The baseline run uses static LBCs and the experimental run uses dynamic LBCs from NGAC V1.0. Figure 9 shows the observed and modeled surface Particulate Matter smaller than 2.5 micron ( $PM_{2.5}$ ) at two AIRNOW stations in the southeast region. Table 2 presents the statistic results of the CMAQ compared to the EPA AIRNOW  $PM_{2.5}$ . It is found that the incorporation of dynamic LBCs from NGAC V1.0 reduces model biases and improves correlation. Clearly, the inclusion of long-range dust transport through dynamic LBCs leads to significant improvements in CMAQ forecasts during dust intrusion episodes.

# GMDD

doi:10.5194/gmd-2015-236

## The implementation of NEMS GFS Aerosol Component (NGAC) Version 1.0

C.-H. Lu et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



## 6 Conclusions

NASA/GMAO's GOCART aerosol module has been implemented into NEMS GFS at NCEP through NOAA/NCEP-NASA/GSFC collaborations. While NGAC has the capability to forecast dust, sulfate, sea salt, and carbonaceous aerosols, the initial phase-one implementation is to establish dust-only numerical guidance. NGAC Version 1.0, implemented in September 2012, provides the first operational global dust forecasting capability at NOAA. Its AOD product has been incorporated into global and regional multi-model ensemble products (ICAP and WMO SDS-WAS, respectively) in quasi-operational mode.

The NGAC V1.0 dust forecasts are routinely verified using AOD observations from space-borne MODIS and ground-based AERONET. NGAC V1.0 results are also compared with those from other similar aerosol models. It is shown that the NGAC V1.0 simulated spatial distributions and seasonal variations are consistent with the observations. In addition, the emissions, burdens, and lifetime of dust aerosols in NGAC V1.0 are within the range of similar aerosol models.

While the initial NGAC implementation is limited in its scope (a dust-only system without aerosol data assimilation), it laid the ground work for various aerosol-related applications. Future operational benefits associated with the global aerosol forecasting system at NOAA includes:

- Enable operational global short-range multi-species aerosol prediction.
- Provide the first step toward an operational aerosol data assimilation capability at NCEP.
- Allow aerosol impacts on medium range weather forecasts to be considered.
- Provide global aerosol information for various applications, including satellite radiance data assimilation, satellite retrievals, SST analysis, and UV-Index forecasts.

## GMDD

doi:10.5194/gmd-2015-236

### The implementation of NEMS GFS Aerosol Component (NGAC) Version 1.0

C.-H. Lu et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion







DUST4: dust bin4 (3–6 micron) mixing ratio ( $\text{kg kg}^{-1}$ )  
DUST5: dust bin5 (6–10 micron) mixing ratio ( $\text{kg kg}^{-1}$ )

(3)  $\text{ngac.t00z.aod\_\$NM}$ , where  $\text{NM} = 11\text{p}1\text{um}, 1\text{p}63\text{um}, 340, 440, 550, 660, 860 \text{ nm}$ :  
5 Aerosol optical depth (dimensionless) at specified wavelengths (11.1, 1.63, 0.34, 0.44, 0.55, 0.66, and 0.86 micron)

### Data and code availability

Products from the NCEP operational production suite are distributed and accessible to general users, free of charge, in real-time (typically no later than 3 h after the data are created) at NOAA Operational Model Archive and Distribution System (NOMADS). Source code as well as relevant run scripts, parameters, and fixed field files can be obtained from NCEP Central Operations (NCO) ftp site at the following location: <http://www.nco.ncep.noaa.gov/pmb/codes/nwprod/ngac.v1.0.0>.

10 The NGAC V1.0 output is available in GRIdded Binary Version 2 (GRIB2) format on  $1^\circ \times 1^\circ$  degree grid, with 3 hourly output out to 120 h. Users can access the NGAC V1.0 digital products from NOMADS at the following location: <http://nomads.ncep.noaa.gov/pub/data/nccf/com/ngac>.

15 NGAC V1.0 output instantaneous values of 3-dimensional dust mixing ratios for five particle sizes with effective radius at 1, 1.8, 3, 6, and 10 micron. The model also output time-averaged 2-dimensional diagnostics fields relevant to aerosol budget, such as emission fluxes. These aerosol fields are written out at GFS native Gaussian grid, and post-processed to GRIB2 format and regular  $1^\circ \times 1^\circ$  degree grid. Dust AOD at 550 nm and other selected spectral is calculated from instantaneous dust distributions with aerosol optical properties based on Chin et al. (2002). NGAC V1.0 GRIB2 output files and their contents are listed in the Appendix.

25 *Acknowledgements.* The NGAC project has been supported by NASA Applied Science Program and NOAA-NASA-DOD Joint Center for Satellite Data Assimilation. The authors thank

## The implementation of NEMS GFS Aerosol Component (NGAC) Version 1.0

C.-H. Lu et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



## The implementation of NEMS GFS Aerosol Component (NGAC) Version 1.0

C.-H. Lu et al.

[Title Page](#)
[Abstract](#)
[Introduction](#)
[Conclusions](#)
[References](#)
[Tables](#)
[Figures](#)
[Back](#)
[Close](#)
[Full Screen / Esc](#)
[Printer-friendly Version](#)
[Interactive Discussion](#)


Didier Tanre for the efforts in establishing and maintaining Cape Verde and Dakar sites. Brent Holben leads the AERONET program and provided access to near-real-time L1.5 data set. The authors also appreciate the multi-model ensemble work done by the NRL (for ICAP) and BSC (for WMO SDS-WAS NA-ME-E Regional Center). The lead author C.-H. Lu is grateful for technical help and/or scientific input from her NCEP EMC colleagues, Wei-Yu Yang, Perry Shafran, and Ho-Chun Huang, and Yuqiu Zhu. She also thanks her NCEP NCO colleagues for transitioning pre-operational NGAC V1.0 system into NCEP production, including Simon Hsiao, Xiaoxue Wang, Christine Caruso Magee, Jeff Ator, Boi Vuong, Rebecca Cosgrove and Daniel Starosta. The pre-implementation evaluation by Walter Sessions, Nick Nalli, Andy Harris, Craig Long, Gary Votaw, and Jeral Estupinan is also greatly appreciated.

## References

- Albrecht, B. A.: Aerosols, cloud microphysics and fractional cloudiness, *Science*, 245, 1227–1230, 1989.
- Andreae, M. O. and Crutzen, P. J.: Atmospheric Aerosols: biogeochemical sources and role in atmospheric chemistry, *Science*, 276, 1052–1054, 1997.
- Basart, S., Pérez, C., Nickovic, S., Cuevas, E., and Baldasano, J. M.: Development and evaluation of the BSC-DREAM8b dust regional model over Northern Africa, the Mediterranean and the Middle East, *Tellus B*, 64, 18539, doi:10.3402/tellusb.v64i0.18539, 2012.
- Benedetti, A., Morcrette, J.-J., Boucher, O., Dethof, A., Engelen, R. J., Fisher, M., Flentjes, H., Huneeus, N., Jones, L., Kaiser, J. W., Kinne, S., Mangold, A., Razinger, M., Simmons, A. J., Suttie, M., and the GEMS-AER team: Aerosol analysis and forecast in the European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts Integrated Forecast System: 2. Data assimilation, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 114, D13205, doi:10.1029/2008JD011115, 2009.
- Benedetti, A., Reid, J. S., and Colarco, P. R.: International Cooperative for Aerosol Prediction (ICAP) Workshop On Aerosol Forecast Verification, *B. Am. Meteorol. Soc.*, 92, ES48–ES53, doi:10.1175/BAMS-D-11-00105.1, 2011.
- Benedetti, A., Baldasano, J. M., Basart, S., Benincasa, F., Boucher, O., Brooks, M., Chen, J.-P., Colarco, P. R., Gong, S., Huneeus, N., Jones, L. T., Lu, S., Menut, L., Morcrette, J.-J., Mulcahy, J., Nickovic, S., Perez Garcia-Pando, C., Reid, J. S., Sekiyama, T. T., Tanaka, T. Y., Terradellas, E., Westphal, D. L., Zhang, X.-Y., and Zhou, C.-H.: Operational dust forecasting,



## The implementation of NEMS GFS Aerosol Component (NGAC) Version 1.0

C.-H. Lu et al.

[Title Page](#)
[Abstract](#)
[Introduction](#)
[Conclusions](#)
[References](#)
[Tables](#)
[Figures](#)




[Back](#)
[Close](#)
[Full Screen / Esc](#)
[Printer-friendly Version](#)
[Interactive Discussion](#)


Chin, M., Diehl, T., Ginoux, P., and Malm, W.: Intercontinental transport of pollution and dust aerosols: implications for regional air quality, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 7, 5501–5517, doi:10.5194/acp-7-5501-2007, 2007.

Chin, M., Diehl, T., Dubovik, O., Eck, T. F., Holben, B. N., Sinyuk, A., and Streets, D. G.: Light absorption by pollution, dust, and biomass burning aerosols: a global model study and evaluation with AERONET measurements, *Ann. Geophys.*, 27, 3439–3464, doi:10.5194/angeo-27-3439-2009, 2009.

Colarco, P., Schoeberl, M., Doddridge, B., Marufu, L., Torres, O., and Welton, E.: Transport of smoke from Canadian forest fires to the surface near Washington DC, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 109, D06203, doi:10.1029/2003JD004248, 2004.

Colarco, P., da Silva, A., Chin, M., and Diehl, T.: Online simulations of global aerosol distributions in the NASA GEOS-4 model and comparisons to satellite and ground-based aerosol optical depth, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 115, D14207, doi:10.1029/2009JD012820, 2010.

Darmenov, A. and da Silva, A. M.: The Quick Fire Emissions Dataset (QFED) – Documentation of versions 2.1, 2.2 and 2.4, NASA Technical Report Series on Global Modeling and Data Assimilation, NASA/TM-2015–104606, Vol. 38, 211 pp., available at: <http://gmao.gsfc.nasa.gov/pubs/tm/>, last access: 18 December 2015.

Davidson, P. M., Seaman, N., Schere, K., Wayland, R. A., Hayes, J. L., and Carey, K. F.: National air quality forecasting capability: first steps toward implementation, in: *Proceedings of Sixth Conf. on Atmos. Chem.*, Ameri. Met. Soc., Seattle, WA, USA, 10–16 January 2004, J2.10, 2004.

Dickerson, R. R., Kondragunta, S., Stenchikov, G., Civerolo, K. L., Doddridge, B. G., and Holben, B. N.: The impact of aerosols on solar ultraviolet radiation and photochemical smog, *Science*, 278, 827–830, 1997.

Duce, R. A.: The atmospheric input of trace species to the world ocean, *Global Biogeochem. Cy.*, 5, 193–259, 1991.

Fan, J., Rosenfeld, D., Yang, Y., Zhao, C., Leung, L. R., and Li, Z.: Substantial contribution of anthropogenic air pollution to catastrophic floods in Southwest China, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 42, 6066–6075, doi:10.1002/2015GL064479, 2015.

Ginoux, P., Chin, M., Tegen, I., Prospero, J., Holben, B., Dubovik, O., and Lin, S.-J.: Sources and global distributions of dust aerosols simulated with the GOCART model, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 106, 20255–20273, 2001.

## The implementation of NEMS GFS Aerosol Component (NGAC) Version 1.0

C.-H. Lu et al.

[Title Page](#)
[Abstract](#)
[Introduction](#)
[Conclusions](#)
[References](#)
[Tables](#)
[Figures](#)




[Back](#)
[Close](#)
[Full Screen / Esc](#)
[Printer-friendly Version](#)
[Interactive Discussion](#)


Ginoux, P., Prospero, J., Torres, O., and Chin, M.: Long-term simulation of dust distribution with the GOCART model: correlation with the North Atlantic Oscillation, *Environ. Modell. Softw.*, 19, 113–128, 2004.

Han, J. and Pan, H.-L.: Revision of convection and vertical diffusion schemes in the NCEP global forecast system, *Weather Forecast.*, 26, 520–533, 2011.

Haywood, J. M., Allan, R. P., Culverwell, I., Slingo, T., Milton, S., Edwards, J., and Clerbaux, N.: Can desert dust explain the outgoing longwave radiation anomaly over the Sahara during July 2003?, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 110, D05105, doi:10.1029/2004JD005232, 2005.

Herbener, S. R., van den Heever, S. C., Carrió, G. G., Saleeby, S. M., William, R., and Cotton, W. R.: Aerosol indirect effects on idealized tropical cyclone dynamics. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, 71, 2040–2055, doi:10.1175/JAS-D-13-0202.1. 2014.

Hess, M., Koepke, P., and Schult, I.: Optical properties of aerosols and clouds: the software package OPAC, *B. Am. Meteorol. Soc.*, 79, 831–844, 1998.

Huneus, N., Schulz, M., Balkanski, Y., Griesfeller, J., Prospero, J., Kinne, S., Bauer, S., Boucher, O., Chin, M., Dentener, F., Diehl, T., Easter, R., Fillmore, D., Ghan, S., Ginoux, P., Grini, A., Horowitz, L., Koch, D., Krol, M. C., Landing, W., Liu, X., Mahowald, N., Miller, R., Morcrette, J.-J., Myhre, G., Penner, J., Perlwitz, J., Stier, P., Takemura, T., and Zender, C. S.: Global dust model intercomparison in AeroCom phase I, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 11, 7781–7816, doi:10.5194/acp-11-7781-2011, 2011.

Husar, R., B., Prospero, J. M., and Stowe, L. L.: Characterization of tropospheric aerosols over the oceans with the NOAA advanced very high resolution radiometer optical thickness operational products, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 102, 16889–16909, 1997.

Jaffe, D., McKendry, I., Anderson, T., and Price, H.: Six “new” episodes of trans-Pacific transport of air pollutants, *Atmos. Environ.*, 37, 391–404, 2003.

Jones, D., Robarts, D. L., and Slingo, A.: A climate model study of indirect radiative forcing by anthropogenic sulphate aerosols, *Nature*, 370, 450–453, 1994.

Kim, D., Chin, M., Bian, H., Tan, Q., Brown, M. E., Zheng, T., You, R., Diehl, T., Ginoux, P., and Kucsera, T.: The effect of the dynamic surface bareness to dust source function, emission, and distribution, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 118, 1–16, doi:10.1029/2012JD017907, 2013.

Knippertz, P. and Todd, M. C.: Mineral dust aerosols over the Sahara: meteorological controls on emission and transport and implications for modeling, *Rev. Geophys.*, 50, RG1007, doi:10.1029/2011RG000362, 2012.

## The implementation of NEMS GFS Aerosol Component (NGAC) Version 1.0

C.-H. Lu et al.

[Title Page](#)
[Abstract](#)
[Introduction](#)
[Conclusions](#)
[References](#)
[Tables](#)
[Figures](#)
[Back](#)
[Close](#)
[Full Screen / Esc](#)
[Printer-friendly Version](#)
[Interactive Discussion](#)


Koren, I., Kaufman, Y. J., Washington, R., Todd, M. C., Rudich, Y., Vanderlei Martins, J., and Resenfeld, D.: The Bodele depressions: a single spot in the Sahara that provides most of the mineral dust to the Amazon forecast, *Environ. Res. Lett.*, 1, 014005, doi:10.1088/1748-9326/1/1/014005, 2006.

Lohmann, U., Feichter, J., Penner, J., and Leaitch, R.: Indirect effect of sulfate and carbonaceous aerosols: a mechanistic treatment, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 105, 12193–12206, 2000.

Lu, S., Huang, H.-C., Hou, Y.-T., Tang, Y., McQueen, J., da Silva, A., Chin, M., Joseph, E., and Stockwell, W.: Development of NCEP Global Aerosol Forecasting System: an overview and its application for improving weather and air quality forecasts, in: *NATO Science for Peace and Security Series: Air Pollution Modelling and Its Application XX*, Springer Publications, Dordrecht, the Netherlands, ISBN 978-90-481-3810-4, 451–454, doi:10.1007/978-90-481-3812-8, 2010.

Lu, S., da Silva, A., Chin, M., Wang, J., Moorthi, S., Juang, H., Chuang, H.-Y., Tang, Y., Jones, L., Iredell, M., and McQueen, J.: The NEMS GFS Aerosol Component: NCEP's Global Aerosol Forecast System, NCEP Office Note 472, Washington DC, USA, 26 pp., available at: <http://www.lib.ncep.noaa.gov/ncepofficenotes/2010s/> (last access: 18 December 2015), 2013.

Mitchell Jr., J.: The effect of atmospheric aerosols on climate with special references to temperature near the earth's surface, *J. Appl. Meteorol.*, 10, 703–714, 1971.

Moorthi, S. and Suarez, M. J.: Relaxed Arakawa–Schubert: a parameterization of moist convection for general circulation models, *Mon. Weather Rev.*, 120, 978–1002, 1992.

Moorthi, S. and Suarez, M. J.: Documentation of Version 2 of Relaxed Arakawa–Schubert Cumulus Parameterization With Moist Downdrafts, NOAA Technical report NWS/NCEP 99-01, NOAA/NCEP, College Park, MD, USA, 44 pp., 1999.

Morcrette, J.-J., Boucher, O., Jones, L., Salmond, D., Bechtold, P., Beljaars, A., Benedetti, A., Bonet, A., Kaiser, J. W., Razingger, M., Schulz, M., Serrar, S., Simmons, A. J., Sofiev, M., Suttie, M., Tompkins, A. M., and Untch, A.: Aerosol analysis and forecast in the European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts Integrated Forecast System: forward modeling, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 114, D06206, doi:10.1029/2008JD011235, 2009.

Mulcahy, J. P., Walters, D. N., Bellouin, N., and Milton, S. F.: Impacts of increasing the aerosol complexity in the Met Office global numerical weather prediction model, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 14, 4749–4778, doi:10.5194/acp-14-4749-2014, 2014.

## The implementation of NEMS GFS Aerosol Component (NGAC) Version 1.0

C.-H. Lu et al.

[Title Page](#)
[Abstract](#)
[Introduction](#)
[Conclusions](#)
[References](#)
[Tables](#)
[Figures](#)
[Back](#)
[Close](#)
[Full Screen / Esc](#)
[Printer-friendly Version](#)
[Interactive Discussion](#)


Pérez, C., Nickovic, S., Pejanovic, G., Baldasano, J. M., and Ozsoy, E.: Interactive dust-radiation modeling: a step to improve weather forecasts, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 11, D16206, doi:10.1029/2005JD006717, 2006.

Pérez, C., Haustein, K., Janjic, Z., Jorba, O., Huneus, N., Baldasano, J. M., Black, T., Basart, S., Nickovic, S., Miller, R. L., Perlwitz, J. P., Schulz, M., and Thomson, M.: Atmospheric dust modeling from meso to global scales with the online NMMB/BSC-Dust model – Part 1: Model description, annual simulations and evaluation, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 11, 13001–13027, doi:10.5194/acp-11-13001-2011, 2011.

Pöschl, U.: Atmospheric aerosols: composition, transformation, climate, and health effects, *Angew. Chem. Int. Edit.*, 44, 7520–7540, doi:10.1002/anie.200501122, 2005.

Prospero, J.: Long-term measurements of the transport of African mineral dust to the southeastern United States: implications for regional air quality, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 104, 15917–15927, 1999.

Prospero, J. M., Barrett, K., Church, T., Dentener, F., Duce, R. A., Galloway, J. N., Levy II, H., Moody, J., and Quinn, P.: Atmospheric deposition of nutrients to the North Atlantic basin, *Biogeochemistry*, 35, 27–73, 1996.

Ramanathan, V., Crutzen, P. J., Kiehl, J. T., and Rosenfeld, D.: Aerosol, climate, and the hydrological cycle, *Science*, 294, 2119–2124, 2001.

Reid, J. S., Benedetti, A., Colarco, P. R., and Hansen, J. A.: International Operational Aerosol Observability Workshop, *B. Am. Meteorol. Soc.*, 92, ES21–ES24, doi:10.1175/2010BAMS3183.1, 2011.

Rodwell, M. J. and Jung, T.: Understanding the local and global impacts of model physics changes: an aerosol example, *Q. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc.*, 134, 1479–1497, doi:10.1002/qj.298, 2008.

Rosenfeld, D., Woodley, W. L., Khain, A., Cotton, W. R., Carrió, G., Ginis, I., and Golden, J. H.: Aerosol effects on microstructure and intensity of tropical cyclones, *B. Am. Meteorol. Soc.*, 93, 987–1001, 2012.

Saide, P. E., Spak, S. N., Pierce, R. B., Otkin, J. A., Schaack, T. K., Heidinger, A. K., da Silva, A. M., Kacenelenbogen, M., Redemann, J., and Carmichael, G. R.: Central American biomass burning smoke can increase tornado severity in the U.S., *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 42, 956–965, doi:10.1002/2014GL062826, 2015.

Sessions, W. R., Reid, J. S., Benedetti, A., Colarco, P. R., da Silva, A., Lu, S., Sekiyama, T., Tanaka, T. Y., Baldasano, J. M., Basart, S., Brooks, M. E., Eck, T. F., Iredell, M., Hansen, J. A.,



## The implementation of NEMS GFS Aerosol Component (NGAC) Version 1.0

C.-H. Lu et al.

[Title Page](#)
[Abstract](#)
[Introduction](#)
[Conclusions](#)
[References](#)
[Tables](#)
[Figures](#)




[Back](#)
[Close](#)
[Full Screen / Esc](#)
[Printer-friendly Version](#)
[Interactive Discussion](#)


Jorba, O. C., Juang, H.-M. H., Lynch, P., Morcrette, J.-J., Moorthi, S., Mulcahy, J., Pradhan, Y., Razinger, M., Sampson, C. B., Wang, J., and Westphal, D. L.: Development towards a global operational aerosol consensus: basic climatological characteristics of the International Co-operative for Aerosol Prediction Multi-Model Ensemble (ICAP-MME), *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 15, 335–362, doi:10.5194/acp-15-335-2015, 2015.

Tanaka, T. Y., Orito, K., Sekiyama, T. T., Shibata, K., Chiba, M., and Tanaka, H.: MASINGAR, a global tropospheric aerosol chemical transport model coupled with MRI/JMA98 GCM: model description, *Pap. Meteorol. Geophys.*, 53, 119–138, 2003.

Textor, C., Schulz, M., Guibert, S., Kinne, S., Balkanski, Y., Bauer, S., Berntsen, T., Berglen, T., Boucher, O., Chin, M., Dentener, F., Diehl, T., Easter, R., Feichter, H., Fillmore, D., Ghan, S., Ginoux, P., Gong, S., Grini, A., Hendricks, J., Horowitz, L., Huang, P., Isaksen, I., Iversen, I., Kloster, S., Koch, D., Kirkevåg, A., Kristjansson, J. E., Krol, M., Lauer, A., Lamarque, J. F., Liu, X., Montanaro, V., Myhre, G., Penner, J., Pitari, G., Reddy, S., Seland, Ø., Stier, P., Takemura, T., and Tie, X.: Analysis and quantification of the diversities of aerosol life cycles within AeroCom, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 6, 1777–1813, doi:10.5194/acp-6-1777-2006, 2006.

Tompkins, A. M., Cardinali, C., Morcrette, J. J., and Rodwell, M.: Influence of aerosol climatology on forecasts of the African Easterly Jet, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 32, L10801, doi:10.1029/2004GL022189, 2005.

Twomey, S.: Pollution and the planetary albedo, *Atmos. Environ.*, 8, 1251–1256, 1974.

Wang, J., van den Heever, S. C., and Reid, J. S.: Central American biomass burning aerosols and severe weather over the south central United States, *Environ. Res. Lett.*, 4, 015003, doi:10.1088/1748-9326/4/1/015003, 2009.

Wang, Y., Wang, M., Zhang, R., Ghan, S., Lin, Y., Hu, J., Pan, B., Levy, M., Jiang, J., and Molina, M.: Assessing the effects of anthropogenic aerosols on Pacific storm track using a multiscale global climate model, *P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 111, 6894–6899, doi:10.1073/pnas.1403364111, 2014.

Westphal, D. L., Curtis, C. A., Liu, M., and Walker, A. L.: Operational aerosol and dust storm forecasting, in: WMO/GEO Expert Meeting on an International Sand and Dust Storm Warning System, IOP Conf. Series: Earth and Environ. Science, 7–9 November 2007, Barcelona Supercomputing Center (BSC), Barcelona, Spain, 7, doi:10.1088/1755-1307/7/1/012007, 2009.

Woodward, S.: Modeling the atmospheric life cycle and radiative impact of mineral dust in the Hadley Centre climate model, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 106, 18155–18166, doi:10.1029/2000JD900795, 2001.

Woodward, S.: Mineral Dust in HadGEM2, Tech. Note 87, Hadley Cent., Met Office, Exeter, UK, 2011.

Zhang, J., Reid, J. S., Westphal, D. L., Baker, N., and Hyer, E. J.: A system for operational aerosol optical depth data assimilation over global oceans, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 113, D10208, doi:10.1029/2007JD009065, 2008.

Zhang, R., Li, G., Fan, J., Wu, D. L., and Molina, M.: Intensification of Pacific storm track linked to Asian pollution, *P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 104, 5295–5299, doi:10.1073/pnas.0700618104, 2007.

## GMDD

doi:10.5194/gmd-2015-236

### The implementation of NEMS GFS Aerosol Component (NGAC) Version 1.0

C.-H. Lu et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



## The implementation of NEMS GFS Aerosol Component (NGAC) Version 1.0

C.-H. Lu et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[⏪](#)[⏩](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

**Table 1.** Global annual emissions, annual burden and lifetime for dust aerosols\*.

	Emissions (Tgyr <sup>-1</sup> )	Burden (Tg)	Lifetime (day)
NGAC V1.0	1980	21.9	4.3
On-line GEOS4	1970	31.6	5.85
Off-line GEOS4	3242	38.4	4.33
Off-line GEOS	1604–1956	31–40	6.6–7.3
AeroCom	1123 [514–4313]	15.8 [6.8–29.5]	4.6 [1.6–7.1]

\* Note: the top number is the NGAC V1.0 results from September 2012–September 2013, the second number is the results of on-line GEOS4-GOCART simulations (Table 1 in Colarco et al., 2010), the third number is the results of off-line GEOS4-GOCART model (Table 1 in Colarco et al., 2010 which in turn is provided by Mian Chin using the off-line GEOS4-GOCART model described in Chin et al., 2009), the fourth number is the results of off-line GEOS-GOCART model (Table 2 in Ginoux et al., 2001), and the fifth number is the average of the AeroCom models with the range of the models in parentheses (Table 3 in Huneus et al., 2011).

## The implementation of NEMS GFS Aerosol Component (NGAC) Version 1.0

C.-H. Lu et al.

**Table 2.** Statistic results comparing CMAQ model results with EPA AIRNOW PM<sub>2.5</sub>. The mean bias (MB) and correlation ( $R$ ) are calculated for the baseline run using static LBCs and the experimental run using NGAC LBCs.

Domain/Period	CMAQ Baseline	CMAQ Experimental
Whole domain, 1 Jul–3 Aug	MB = $-2.82$ , $R = 0.42$	MB = $-0.88$ , $R = 0.44$
South of 38° N, East of 105° W 1 Jul–3 Aug	MB = $-4.54$ , $R = 0.37$	MB = $-1.76$ , $R = 0.41$
Whole domain, 18–30 Jul	MB = $-2.79$ , $R = 0.31$	MB = $-0.33$ , $R = 0.37$
South of 38° N, East of 105° W 18–30 Jul	MB = $4.79$ , $R = 0.27$	MB = $-0.46$ , $R = 0.41$


[Title Page](#)
[Abstract](#)
[Introduction](#)
[Conclusions](#)
[References](#)
[Tables](#)
[Figures](#)




[Back](#)
[Close](#)
[Full Screen / Esc](#)
[Printer-friendly Version](#)
[Interactive Discussion](#)


## The implementation of NEMS GFS Aerosol Component (NGAC) Version 1.0

C.-H. Lu et al.



**Figure 1.** Schematic summary of the GOCART aerosol module as adapted and being implemented in GEOS-4/5 at GMAO and NEMS GFS at NCEP.

Title Page

Abstract Introduction

Conclusions References

Tables Figures

⏪ ⏩

◀ ▶

Back Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



## GMDD

doi:10.5194/gmd-2015-236

The implementation  
of NEMS GFS  
Aerosol Component  
(NGAC) Version 1.0

C.-H. Lu et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close


Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



GOCART Dust Source Function




**Figure 2.** The dust source function or probability of dust uplifting, mapped to GFS T126 resolution, used in NGAC V1.0.

# GMDD

doi:10.5194/gmd-2015-236

## The implementation of NEMS GFS Aerosol Component (NGAC) Version 1.0

C.-H. Lu et al.



**Figure 3.** Primary integration runstream of NGAC.

Title Page

Abstract Introduction

Conclusions References

Tables Figures

⏪ ⏩

◀ ▶

Back Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



## GMDD

doi:10.5194/gmd-2015-236

The implementation  
of NEMS GFS  
Aerosol Component  
(NGAC) Version 1.0

C.-H. Lu et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶


Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion




**Figure 4.** Annual dust emissions (in  $\text{g}/\text{m}^2/\text{yr}^{-1}$ ) over the September 2012–September 2013 period.



## The implementation of NEMS GFS Aerosol Component (NGAC) Version 1.0

C.-H. Lu et al.



**Figure 5.** Comparisons of monthly-mean MODIS total AOD (left), NGAC V1.0 dust AOD (mid-dle), and GEOS5 dust AOD (right) at 550 nm for October 2012, January 2013, February 2013, and July 2013 periods.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc


Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



The implementation of NEMS GFS Aerosol Component (NGAC) Version 1.0

C.-H. Lu et al.



**Figure 6.** (a) NGAC V1.0 vs. L1.5 AERONET 550-nm AOD comparisons at Cape Verde for the 2013–2014 period: a time series, scatterplot, and fractional distribution histogram. In the time series, the model monthly means and standard deviation about the mean are shown in the black symbols and lines. The AERONET monthly means and standard deviation about the mean are shown in the red shading and orange bars. In the PDF plot, the model is indicated by the black symbols and line, and the AERONET observations are indicated by orange bars. We thank Didier Tanre for the efforts in establishing and maintaining Cape Verde and Dakar sites. (b) NGAC V1.0 vs. AERONET 550 nm AOD comparisons at Dakar for the 2013–2014 period: a time series, scatterplot, and fractional distribution histogram.

Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper

Title Page

Abstract Introduction

Conclusions References

Tables Figures

◀ ▶

◀ ▶

Back Close

Full Screen / Esc



Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



## The implementation of NEMS GFS Aerosol Component (NGAC) Version 1.0

C.-H. Lu et al.



**Figure 7.** Dust AOD valid at 12:00 UTC 4 July 2015 for ICAP multi-model ensemble (top) and NGAC V1.0 (bottom). The ensemble is based on 7 members, including the models from NCEP (NGAC V1.0), GMAO, ECMWF, NRL, JMA, UKMO, and BSC. These figures are produced by the Naval Research Laboratory.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc


Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



## The implementation of NEMS GFS Aerosol Component (NGAC) Version 1.0


C.-H. Lu et al.

[Title Page](#)
[Abstract](#)
[Introduction](#)
[Conclusions](#)
[References](#)
[Tables](#)
[Figures](#)
[Back](#)
[Close](#)
[Full Screen / Esc](#)
[Printer-friendly Version](#)
[Interactive Discussion](#)


**Figure 8.** Dust AOD regional ensemble products valid at 12:00 UTC 4 July 2015. The multi-model products describing centrality (multi-model median and mean) and spread (standard deviation and range of variation) are generated from multiple global and regional forecast models, including NGAC V1.0. This figure is produced by the Regional Center for Northern Africa, Middle East and Europe of the WMO SDS-WAS program.

## The implementation of NEMS GFS Aerosol Component (NGAC) Version 1.0

C.-H. Lu et al.



**Figure 9.** Time series of PM<sub>2.5</sub> from EPA AIRNOW observations (black dot), CMAQ baseline run using static LBCs (green dot) and CMAQ experimental run using NGAC LBCs (blue square) at Miami, FL (top panel) and Kenner, LA (bottom panel).