RTMA/URMA and NAM Smartinit Q3FY16 CCB

Manuel Pondeca, Steven Levine, Jacob Carley, Ying Lin, Yanqiu Zhu, Jeff McQueen, Geoff Manikin, R. James Purser, Xiujuan Su, George Gayno, Geoff DiMego, Dave Parrish, Runhua Yang, Annette Gibbs





Changes with this Upgrade

- Smartinit for HRRR, RAP, NAM: New consensus terrain and land/sea mask
 - Part of National Blend of Models project
 - Terrain and land/sea mask provided by MDL
- Use of HRRRv2/RAPv3 for background
- URMA (including min/maxT for Hawaii and Puerto Rico)
 - Requested by National Blend of Models project
- New wind speed analysis
 - Wind speed as a scalar, rather than streamfunction and velocity potential
 - Requested by Eastern Region and WFO Charleston, WV after issue was discovered last year
- Variational quality control adjustments
- New variable: cloud ceiling height
 - Requested by FAA, also fulfills NDFD requirement
 - In operations, but considered *experimental output* (no time to evaluate with field)

New Consensus Terrain and Land/Sea Mask

- Developed by MDL, EMC, NWS Regions, and Raytheon as part of National Blend.
- Based on USGS GMTED2010 data, which is an upgrade from the GTOPO30 data used in OPS
- Same terrain for RTMA, NBM, RAP smartinit, NAM smartinit, AWIPS
- Meant to go in for Q1FY16 upgrade, but too many systems to work with at same time
- Terrain will be sent back to local offices for local edits later





Changes to NAM Smartinit

- New consensus terrain and land/sea mask
- Expanded the CONUS Nest 2.5 km domain (northward and westward)
- Added cloud ceiling height and mean sea-level pressure to the output

NAM Smartinit 2-m Temperature (°F)

2-M TEMP (F) EXP_PARA 03H FCST VALID 15Z 27 JAN 2016



-40-36-32-28-24-20-16-12-8 -4 0 4 8 12 16 20 24 28 32 36 40 44

2-M TEMP (F) OPS 03H FCST VALID 15Z 27 JAN 2016



-40-36-32-28-24-20-16-12-8-4 0 4 8 12 16 20 24 28 32 36 40 44



2-M TMP DIF (F) PARA-OPS 03H FCST VALID 15Z 27 JAN 2016

NAM Smartinit 10-m Winds (kts)

10-M WIND (kts) OPS 03H FCST VALID 15Z 27 JAN 2016



10-M WIND (kts) EXP_PARA 03H FCST VALID 15Z 27 JAN 2016



10 14 18 22 26 30 34 38 42 46 50 54 58 62 66 70 74 78 82 86 90 94 98 102

10 14 18 22 26 30 34 38 42 46 50 54 58 62 66 70 74 78 82 86 90 94 98 102



10-M WIND DIF (kts) PARA-OPS 03H FCST VALID 15Z 27 JAN 2016



NAM Smartinit Cloud Ceiling Height and Mean Sea-level Pressure



RAPv3/HRRRv2 Background

- Smartinit downscaled 1h forecasts are used
- Blended with smartinit NAM forecast to generate RTMA/URMA background
- Improvements in low level moisture, temperature will allow for generation of better background field

New Wind Speed Analysis

- Current wind analysis does not match obs well, has been known to generate false features (pointed out by WFO's, regions)
- Current analysis method used streamfunction and velocity potential
- New method: analyze wind speed as a scalar
 - Direction still derived from streamfunction/velocity potential
- Analysis now better matches observations, increments in wind speed match terrain, and false/mysterious features have disappeared

URMA Wind Speed Analysis over WV

18 January 2016, 18Z Operational (old): old wind speed (psi/chi) Parallel (new): new wind speed (as scalar)







Values at selected sites (mph)

Site	Observed	Background	Old Anl	New Anl
КРКВ	15.0	10.2	19.1	13.7
CWLW2	8.1	10.2	19.9	9.8
FLRW2	8.1	10.2	22.1	9.7
KCRW	14.9	9.5	17.9	13.7
BEEW2	10.0	9.0	15.9	12.1
KW22	21.0	11.3	17.5	14.3

URMA for Hawaii and Puerto Rico

- Requested by National Blend of Models project for use in blend over PR and HI
- Same code as used for CONUS and AK (implemented in January)
- More obs used, min/maxT now available over these regions
 - min/maxT analyses include intra-hour obs

Puerto Rico RTMA vs URMA obs



Hawaii URMA vs RTMA obs







Hourly Temp Analysis Valid 19Z 1/27

PR MaxT Valid Jan 27



Features of new nonlinear quality control (Purser, 2011 NOAA office Note 468)

- 1. It fits to the histogram of innovation better. Innovation is the difference between observation and model first guess.
- 2. it is "Gaussian Mixture" probability distribution function. When the observation data are far away from the first guess, the method gives them less weight in the analysis process, so mitigate their impact on analysis results (further explanation follows)

The logarithm of the probability is proportional to:

2b × ln (cosh((O-F)/($\sqrt{2b}$ × θ))

Here, b and θ are the two parameters of the scheme, denoting the degree of non-Gaussian shape, and the nominal standard deviation of observation error, respectively.

When and how can the new varqc help?

- (a) If there are a few isolated bad observations among good data, which happens often, new varqc assigns less weights to the bad observations during the analysis process; therefore, mitigates the effect of the bad observations.
- (b) If the observed data are of good quality, but the first guess is not, those data can be used in analysis process, and therefore pull analysis solution close to the true status. Without VarQC, such good obs were often rejected

In short, with new varqc, we can relax the gross error criterion to let more data get into the analysis without degrading the results.

Case one: erroneous low temperature data over Montana 03z 02/19/2015

Top: without new varqc Temperature analysis

The bull's-eye reflects an erroneous low temperature observation

Bottom: with new varqc along with the tuned parameters

The bull's-eye is gone



Cloud Ceiling Height

- Going into operations but considered experimental
- Requested by FAA
- Use of METAR obs
 - Ceiling height derived: lowest level of cloud cover >50%
- RAP based background
- Maximum value: 20 km (65,600 feet)
- Available over CONUS only







Ongoing Issues

- O-A differences
 - Some forecasters expect analysis to perfectly match METAR obs...this will never happen
- HRRR vs NAM spread
 - Less accurate background
- Ob representivity/quality control

What's Next

- GLERL adjustment over Great Lakes (support wave models)
- 15 minute updates for ceiling and visibility (support FAA)
- New variable: significant wave height
- Westward expansion of grid (support OPC)?
- STI SOO/DOH RTMA/URMA project team
- Continued coordination with MDL/NBM

BACKUP SLIDES







VarQC Case two: an erroneous low Td data over Montana 13Z 5/27/2015

Top: without new varqc: dew point analysis The bull's-eye reflects an erroneous low dew-point observation

Bottom: with new varqc along with the tuned parameters

The bull's-eye is gone.

