# PREDICTABILITY MEETING

# May 31 2005, 2-4 pm ET

# OUTLINE

- Follow-up on 2<sup>nd</sup> Ensemble User Workshop (May 2004)
  - Include interested Service Center ensemble focal points into predictability group discussions
- Overview of main recommendations:
  - Overall
  - Configuration
  - Data access
  - Statistical post-processing
  - Product generation
  - Verification
  - Training
- Review product generation in more detail
  - Discuss new product to be offered for NDGD

# 2<sup>nd</sup> NCEP Ensemble User Workshop SUMMARY RECOMMENDATIONS

- **OVERALL** Enhance coordination of ensemble-related efforts
  - Establish ensemble product working group
  - Continue with monthly Predictability meetings
  - Hold Ensemble User Workshops (part of reestablished SOO workshops)

#### • CONFIGURATION

Global ensemble:Implement hurricane relocation for perturbed initial conditionsContinue efforts to build multi-center ensemble

**Regional (SREF) ensemble:** Ensemble run should be coupled closer with hires control (same initial time?) Run 4 cycles per day

#### • DATA ACCESS

- Provide access to all ensemble data (including members)
- Facilitate user controlled access to data (e.g. NOMAD, on demand, not on rigid schedule)

### • STATISTICAL POST-PROCESSING (BIAS CORRECTION)

- Develop techniques for two-stage statistical post-processing
- Operationally implement post-processing techniques

#### • **PRODUCTS**

- Develop a software toolbox for interrogating ensemble data
- Establish central/local operational product generation suites

#### VERIFICATION

- Design & develop unified and modular ensemble/probabilistic verification framework

#### • TRAINING

- Establish NWS formal ensemble training requirements
- Contribute to Ensemble Training Workshops, international activities (AMS, WMO), etc

# ENSEMBLE PRODUCTS - CURRENT STATUS

## Product development software

- Some functionalities exist
  - Scattered around different developers/platforms/users
    - NCO operations
    - NAWIPS official build
    - NAWIPS development by NCEP SOOs
    - AWIPS
    - Other platforms

# • Products generated centrally by

- NCO Limited number of gridded products (operational)
- EMC Additional set of gridded and web-based products (nonoperational)
- Issues:
  - Lack of standard/common software toolbox for ensembles
    - Missing functionalities
    - Multiple software versions of existing functionalities
    - Duplication of efforts
  - Lack of comprehensive, well designed set of products
    - Non-standard set of products/displays (global vs. regional ensembles, etc)
    - NAWIPS, AWIPS requires access to products (web not enough)
    - Need for operationally generated and supported web product suite

# **ENSEMBLE PRODUCTS - RECOMMENDATIONS**

- Develop a software toolbox for interrogating ensemble data
  - Establish development team NCO, EMC, NCEP Service Center experts
  - Compile list of required functionalities See attached list
  - Develop standard software package (subroutines) for each functionality
    - Work in NAWIPS framework
    - Ensure software (subroutines) are portable to different platforms
    - Ensure batch and on demand processing capabilities
    - Provide interactive processing/display capability where needed
    - Offer subroutines for use by AWIPS and broader inter/national community
    - Consider WRF, NAEFS, THORPEX applications
- Establish operational/local product generation suites
  - Use standard software toolbox for product generation
  - Identify list of products See attached list for NCEP Service Center requests
  - Type of product generation based on typical usage:
    - Every day Generate centrally (NCO), produce multiple file formats (NAWIPS/web)
    - Occasionally On demand, locally (NCEP Service Centers)
    - Interactively On screen manipulation/interrogation (NAWIPS)
  - Distribute centrally generated products within NAWIPS, AWIPS
  - Set up and maintain operational NCEP ensemble product web page
    - Post products on web page for use by broader community
    - Provide limited interactive query tools if desired (examples within NOMAD)
    - Contribution to THORPEX goals for use by less developed nations

# **ENSEMBLE PRODUCTS - FUNCTIONALITIES**

List of centrally/locally/interactively generated products required by NCEP Service Centers for each functionality are provided in attached tables (eg., *MSLP*, *Z*,*T*,*U*,*V*,*RH*, etc, at 925,850,700,500, 400, 300, 250, 100, etc hPa)

	FUNCTIONALITY	CENTRALLY GENERATED	LOCALLY GENERATED	INTERACTIVE ACCESS
1	Mean of selected members Done			
2	Spread of selected members Done			
3	Median of selected values Sept. 2005			
4	Lowest value in selected members Sept. 2005			
5	Highest value in selected members Sept. 2005			
6	Range between lowest and highest values Sept. 2005			
7	Univariate exceedance probabilities for a selectable threshold value <i>FY06</i> ?			
8	Multivariate (up to 5) exceedance probabilities for a selectable threshold value <i>FY06?</i>			
9	Forecast value associated with selected univariate percentile value <i>FY06?</i>			
10	Tracking center of maxima or minima in a gridded field (eg – low pressure centers) <i>FY06?</i>			
11	Objective grouping of members FY08?			
12	Plot Frequency / Fitted probability density function at selected location/time (lower priority) <i>FY07?</i>			
13	Plot Frequency / Fitted probability density as a function of forecast lead time, at selected location (lower priority) <i>FY07?</i>			

#### Additional basic GUI functionalities:

- Ability to manually select/identify members
- Ability to weight selected members Sept. 2005

#### Potentially useful functionalities that need further development:

- Mean/Spread/Median/Ranges for amplitude of specific features
- Mean/Spread/Median/Ranges for phase of specific features

# **PRODUCT GENERATION STATUS, May 2005**

- Toolbox being worked on
  - Predictability group (EMC, Service Center ensemble focal points) transfers algorithm/software to NAWIPS developers
  - More sophisticated algorithms need to be worked on
    - Pdf manipulation tools, clustering
- Automatic product generation
  - List of requests from Service Centers for both global/regional ensembles collected
    - Currently being prioritized
  - NCO will generate products (Larry Sager), based on NAWIPS tools
    - First set of NAEFS products (March 2006) based on global requests
    - Coordinate SREF products for Winter Desk
    - Web display of selected fields, including those for WMO Regions 3-4
- On-demand (additional) product generation
  - Under purview of Service Center Focal points
- Interactive product generation
  - Not available for all products yet
  - Hardware (speed) limitations for some functionalities

# **ENSEMBLE-BASED PRODUCTS FOR NDGD**

- National Digital Forecast Database (NDFD)
  - Official NWS forecast, prepared by WFO offices (central guidance, coordination)
  - -5x5 (2.5x2.5) km grid, out to 7 days
  - Selected parameters (~15)
  - Available in digital format, query tools, etc
  - No (minimal) provision for information on forecast uncertainty
    - Recommendations from an NDFD workshop, Salt Lake City, 2003
  - Interactive Forecast Preparation System (IFPS) offers tools to work with NDFD grids (forecasters can manipulate gridded data, etc)
- National Digital Guidance Database (NDGD)
  - For posting numerical guidance products same way as NDFD
  - New system, possibility to complement NDFD with forecast uncertainty info
    - Based on global (NAEFS) and regional ensemble forecasts
- What forecast uncertainty info to post in NDGD?

# NDGD FORECAST UNCERTAINTY REQUIREMENTS

- Compact (conveys uncertainty without posting all members)
  - Add minimal new info
    - Current disc, telecommunication, etc limitations
- Simple to understand and use by both trained and novice users
  - Expand existing lines of work
    - Informative without additional knowledge, tools, that are not yet available
- Solid & scientifically based
  - Can fit parametric pdf
    - Allows to derive any univariate info
    - Additional tools needed to use this feature
- Room for expansion
  - Can easily be enhanced without major shift in direction
    - More sophisticated methods can be added
    - Possibly use Gaussian Kernel method of D. Unger

# NDGD FORECAST UNCERTAINTY ALTERNATIVES

- Current status (in NDFD):
  - Expected value (mean, median, or mode??) of distribution only
- Scenario 1 Add 1 variable
  - Add spread to expected value (1 additional grid)
    - Workshop WG felt that was not enough info
    - Recommended adding 2 pieces of info
- Scenario 2 Add 2 variables
  - Add info on spread on 2 sides of mean/median/mode
  - 10/90 or 20-80 percentile values
    - Preferred as opposed to variance (spread) info that is more abstract
  - NDFD Workshop recommendation

# NDGD FORECAST UNCERTAINTY QUESTIONS

- Use mean, mode, or median in NDGD?
  - Mean Expected value
    - Can fall around minimum in pdf
    - Requires additional info (what percentile it corresponds with)
  - Mode Most likely event
    - Appealing heuristically (well defined meaning)
    - Requires additional info (what percentile it corresponds with)
    - Use in future when multiple modes can be considered?
  - Median 50 percentile
    - Heuristic meaning (half below, half above)
    - Consistent with 10/90 (or 20/80) percentile approach
    - Verifies similarly to ensemble mean
    - No need for additional info
    - Used by HPC in PQPF context
- Use 10/90 OR 20/80 percentile?

- 10/90 is more inclusive (covering explicitly 80% of forecast distribution)

# NDGD FORECAST UNCERTAINTY RECOMMENDATION

- Provide 3 ensemble-based guidance products for inclusion in NDGD:
  - 10, 50, and 90 percentile values
    - SREF guidance out to day 3
    - NAEFS guidance out to 16 days
  - Use NDGD grid (5x5 km), with GRIB2 packing, minimal space overhead
- Approach
  - Solicit comments on specific proposal from NCEP Service Centers and regions/field
  - Use NAWIPS software (available soon?) to generate products
    - Work with NAWIPS group to provide algorithm:
      - Simple counting of members with linear interpolation now
      - Gaussian Kernel method in later implementation
  - Factor of 3 increase in disc space
    - D. Ruth positively inclined (WG member at NDFD Workshop)

# **NDGD FORECAST UNCERTAINTY - DOWNSCALING**

- Ensemble uncertainty information
  - Sent on NDGD grid for convenience (if no big overhead)
  - Valid on model grids (32km for regional, 110 km for global ensemble)
  - How to bridge gap between model and NDGD grids?
- Anomaly uncertainty information proposed methodology
  - Establish reanalysis climatology
    - In progress for global (NAEFS), methods can be transferred to regional reanalysis
  - Bias correct ensemble forecasts (wrt operational analysis)
  - Take 10-50-90 percentile values from bias corrected ensemble
  - (For establishing anomaly forecasts, adjust 10-50-90 percentile values to look like re-analysis)
  - Check climatological percentile corresponding to 10-50-90 forecast percentiles
- Provide climatological percentiles corresponding to 10-50-90 percentile forecast values as second set of guidance products

# 2<sup>ND</sup> ENSEMBLE USER WORKSHOP

May 18-20 2004, NCEP

# DRAFT

# RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on presentations, working group, and plenary discussions

June 1 2004

## **WORKING GROUP PARTICIPANTS (26)**

## CONFIGURATION

Co-leaders: Jun Du and Mozheng Wei

*Participants:* Rick Knabb, Richard Wobus, Ed O'Lenic, Dingchen Hou

## STATISTICAL POST-PROCESSING

*Co-leaders:* Paul Dallavalle & Zoltan Toth

*Participants:* Keith Brill, Andrew Loughe, DJ Seo, David Unger

## DATA ACCESS

*Co-leaders:* Yuejian Zhu and David Michaud

Participants: David Bright, Minh Nguy, Kathryn Hughes

## **PRODUCTS & TRAINING**

*Co-leaders:* Jeff McQueen and Pete Manousos

Participants: Paul Stokols, Fred Mosher, Paul Janish, Linnae Neyman, Bill Bua, Joe Sienkiewicz, Binbin Zhou

## **ADDITIONAL WORKSHOP PARTICIPANTS (14)**

Steve Tracton, Mike Halpert, Brian Gockel, Brent Gordon, Mark Antolik, Barbara Stunder, Michael Graf, Dave Plummer, Steve Schotz, Jon Mittelstadt, Malaquias Pena, Glen Zolph, Steve Lord, David Caldwell

# 2<sup>nd</sup> NCEP Ensemble User Workshop SUMMARY RECOMMENDATIONS

- **OVERALL** Enhance coordination of ensemble-related efforts
  - Establish ensemble product working group
  - Continue with monthly Predictability meetings
  - Hold Ensemble User Workshops (part of reestablished SOO workshops)

#### • CONFIGURATION

Global ensemble:Implement hurricane relocation for perturbed initial conditionsContinue efforts to build multi-center ensemble

**Regional (SREF) ensemble:** Ensemble run should be coupled closer with hires control (same initial time?) Run 4 cycles per day

#### • DATA ACCESS

- Provide access to all ensemble data (including members)
- Facilitate user controlled access to data (e.g. NOMAD, on demand, not on rigid schedule)

### • STATISTICAL POST-PROCESSING (BIAS CORRECTION)

- Develop techniques for two-stage statistical post-processing
- Operationally implement post-processing techniques

#### • **PRODUCTS**

- Develop a software toolbox for interrogating ensemble data
- Establish central/local operational product generation suites

#### VERIFICATION

- Design & develop unified and modular ensemble/probabilistic verification framework

#### • TRAINING

- Establish NWS formal ensemble training requirements
- Contribute to Ensemble Training Workshops, international activities (AMS, WMO), etc

# **OVERALL ISSUES / RECOMMENDATIONS**

## • Enhance coordination of ensemble-related efforts

- Among NCO Service Center users
- Between users and NCO / EMC developers
- Between global and regional ensemble groups within EMC
  - Share research, development, and operational procedures where possible
- Establish NCO / EMC / Service Centers Ens. Products Working Group
- Continue (expand via telecom?) monthly Predictability Meetings

# • Optimize NCO operational job stream with user input

- For improved integrated forecast decision support
- Periodically reevaluate job stream from user and science perspectives

# Reestablish Annual NCEP SOO Workshop

- Rotate focus of workshop among various topics
- Hold Ensemble User Workshop every 3-4 years

## **ENSEMBLE CONFIGURATION - CURRENT STATUS**

Global	Regional
4	2
10	15
T126L28 till 7.5 days	48km
T62L28 beyond	
16 days	63 hrs
Single GFS	ETA (2 conv. schemes), RSM
Breeding	Breeding
N/A	Global ensemble
	4 10 T126L28 till 7.5 days T62L28 beyond 16 days Single GFS Breeding

## **ISSUES** -

COLLABORATIVE PROJECTS MUST ENABLE OPERATIONAL IMPLEMENTATIONS

- Global
  - North American Ensemble Forecast System with Met. Service of Canada
    - Post-processing & product development Aimed at operational applications
  - THORPEX NOAA, NA, & international collaborators
    - Projects on initial and model related perturbations Path to operations
- Regional
  - Northeast Energy Project OAR & Industry collaborators
    - Heat wave forecast related research Should transition into operations
  - WRF FSL, NCAR, USAF and other collaborators
    - Potential for rapid development of next generation operational system

# ENSEMBLE CONFIGURATION - RECOMMENDATIONS

#### Global ensemble

- Implement hurricane relocation for perturbed initial conditions
  - Experiment with techniques used successfully with GFS system
- Continue efforts to build multi-center ensemble
  - Combine NCEP, ECMWF, MSC, JMA, FNMOC ensembles
  - Best possible multi-model approach (with added benefits of initial condition variability)

## Regional ensemble (SREF)

- Consider running ensemble & hires ETA (WRF) control from same initial time
  - Utility of off-cycle ensemble (9 & 21Z) is limited when used with 12Z & 00Z controls
     Differences between ensemble & hires control from different cycles hard to interpret
  - Closer coupling between ensemble & hires control allows proper interpretation of both
  - Alternative suggestions for computer resource allocation:
    - Increase less the resolution for both ensemble & hires control in future implementation
    - Decrease resolution for hires forecast beyond, eg, 36 hrs (if skill is not degraded)
    - Run ~5 initial/model perturbation members along with hires control, finish rest of ensemble later
    - Run 5 members from early, hires from final analysis, finish ensemble, run hires window for dominant clusters
    - Study feasibility of combining information from older ensemble with newer hires forecast (J. Du's suggestion)
- Introduce 4 cycles per day, out to 84 hrs if possible Run ensemble at 00, 06, 12, & 18Z
  - Will allow comparison of hires control and lowres ensemble, enhancing utility of both

### Additional suggestions for both systems

- Membership
  - Evaluate effect of increased membership in combination with post-processing gains
- Spatial resolution As computational resources increase,
  - Increase ensemble resolution (~50-50% resources for hires control & lores ensemble)
- Initial perturbations
  - Continue research aimed at better quantification of initial uncertainty
- Model error representation
  - Continue research on stochastic model perturbations & model diversity

# ENSEMBLE DATA ACCESS - CURRENT STATUS

- **Global ensemble** 1x1 grid, pgrib, enspost, Sager file types
  - NCEP Service Centers
  - AWIPS
  - NCEP ftp servers
  - NWS server
- Regional ensemble (SREF) GRIB212 (40km)
  - NCEP SCs
  - AWIPS
  - NCEP ftp servers
  - NWS server
- Issues:

All data available 2 cycles only – Need to add 06 & 18Z cycles? ARIB212 (40km) All data available – Limited NAWIPS access No access to data – Need selected variables Selected variables only – All data needed?

All data available - Limited NAWIPS access

Limited data out to 84 hrs – need 180 hrs (WAFS?)

- None Need to post data
- Disc space usage Inefficient due to use of multiple file formats
  - Same data packaged in various formats for convenient access and historical reasons
- Bandwidth limitations Ftp overload due to data access limited to prepared files
  - Typical user needs only fraction of downloaded data
- Increase in data volume Need advance planning to facilitate future data access
  - Ensembles from other centers; Increased resolution, membership

# **ENSEMBLE DATA ACCESS - RECOMMENDATIONS**

- Provide access to all ensemble data (including members)
  - Allows optimal use of ensemble information by diverse user base
  - Should be feasible given low cost of disc storage space
    - Lower resolution ensemble has similar data volume to hires control
    - Temporary disc space limitations should be mitigated by
      - Freezing output resolution (or list of available variables)

# • Facilitate user controlled access to data (e.g., NOMAD)

- Allow users to choose what they want to download by
  - Selecting members, variable, level, time and spatial domain of interest
  - Providing basic functionalities to manipulate data (eg, download derived statistics only – see Products Working Group recommendations)
- Consider for NAWIPS, AWIPS, and ftp dissemination
- Eliminates need for duplicate data files
- Significantly reduces bandwidth requirements
- Prototype system exists (NOMAD, all global ensemble data available)
  - As interim solution until system operational, introduce split pgrib files?

# • Shift to use of GRIB2 format

- WMO sanctioned standardized & uniform format for ensemble data
  - Need for international ensemble data exchange (see Configuration WG)
- -x3 (for global) to x5 (for regional) reduction in file size

## **ENSEMBLE STATISTICAL POSTPROCESSING - CURRENT STATUS**

## • NWP models, ensemble formation are imperfect

- Known model/ensemble problems addressed at their source
  - No "perfect" solution exists, or is expected to emerge
- Systematic errors remain and cause biases in
  - 1<sup>st</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup> moments of ensemble distribution
  - Spatio-temporal variations in 2<sup>nd</sup> moment
  - Tails of distributions

## No comprehensive operational post-processing in place

- MOS applied on individual members (global ensemble, MDL)
- QPF calibration of 1<sup>st</sup> moment (global ensemble, EMC & CPC)
- Week 2 calibration with frozen system (global ensemble, CDC)
- Issues:
  - Users need bias-free ensemble guidance products
    - Bias-corrected ensemble members must be consistent with verification data
  - Algorithms must be relatively cheap & flexible for operational applications
    - Post-process on model grid first, then "downscale" to NDFD grid / observs?
  - Level of "correctible" details depends on
    - Bias signal vs. random error noise ratio
    - Sample size of available forecast/observation training data pairs
      - Relatively small sample for short-med. ranges Capture regime dependent bias?
      - Much larger for extended ranges Capture climatological bias via frozen system?

#### **ENSEMBLE STATISTICAL POSTPROCESSING - RECOMMENDATIONS**

- Develop techniques for two-stage statistical post-processing:
  - 1) Assess and mitigate biases on model grid with respect to analysis fields
    - Feedback to model / ensemble development
    - 1<sup>st</sup> moment correction based on: Time mean error; Cumulative distributions
    - 2<sup>nd</sup> moment correction based on: Time mean ratio of ens mean error & spread
    - Post-processed forecasts bias corrected with respect to reanalysis fields
      - Generate anomaly forecasts using global/regional reanalysis climatology
  - 2) Downscale bias-corrected fcsts from model grid to NDFD/observatn locations
    - "Smart" interpolator for bias correction and variance generation on fine scales
      - Multiple regression (MOS); Bayesian methods; Kalman Filtering; Neural nets
    - Apply downscaling methods on bias-corrected fields (no lead time dependence)
      - Use large reanalysis and corresponding observational data base (&/or NDFD analysis fields)
  - To describe ensemble-based pdf forecasts, use 3-parameter distributions
    - Test two methods, find best fitting analytic distribution (out of ~25 candidates)
      - Simple method: Fit actual ensemble data
      - Kernel approach: Find best fit to climate data, then apply it on each member w/weight
- Operationally implement post-processing techniques
  - Apply basic bias-correction techniques centrally (NCO) to serve wide user base
    - Post-process all variables used from the ensemble (first model, then derived variables)
  - Disseminate bias-corrected forecasts on lowres ensemble model grid
    - Save disc and bandwidth resources
    - Keep raw forecast fields also accessible for special user processing needs
  - Use additional post-processing (if any) locally to address special needs, eg:
    - Hurricane forecasting

# ENSEMBLE PRODUCTS - CURRENT STATUS

## Product development software

- Some functionalities exist
  - Scattered around different developers/platforms/users
    - NCO operations
    - NAWIPS official build
    - NAWIPS development by NCEP SOOs
    - AWIPS
    - Other platforms

# • Products generated centrally by

- NCO Limited number of gridded products (operational)
- EMC Additional set of gridded and web-based products (nonoperational)
- Issues:
  - Lack of standard/common software toolbox for ensembles
    - Missing functionalities
    - Multiple software versions of existing functionalities
    - Duplication of efforts
  - Lack of comprehensive, well designed set of products
    - Non-standard set of products/displays (global vs. regional ensembles, etc)
    - NAWIPS, AWIPS requires access to products (web not enough)
    - Need for operationally generated and supported web product suite

# **ENSEMBLE PRODUCTS - RECOMMENDATIONS**

- Develop a software toolbox for interrogating ensemble data
  - Establish development team NCO, EMC, NCEP Service Center experts
  - Compile list of required functionalities See attached list
  - Develop standard software package (subroutines) for each functionality
    - Work in NAWIPS framework
    - Ensure software (subroutines) are portable to different platforms
    - Ensure batch and on demand processing capabilities
    - Provide interactive processing/display capability where needed
    - Offer subroutines for use by AWIPS and broader inter/national community
    - Consider WRF, NAEFS, THORPEX applications
- Establish operational/local product generation suites
  - Use standard software toolbox for product generation
  - Identify list of products See attached list for NCEP Service Center requests
  - Type of product generation based on typical usage:
    - Every day Generate centrally (NCO), produce multiple file formats (NAWIPS/web)
    - Occasionally On demand, locally (NCEP Service Centers)
    - Interactively On screen manipulation/interrogation (NAWIPS)
  - Distribute centrally generated products within NAWIPS, AWIPS
  - Set up and maintain operational NCEP ensemble product web page
    - Post products on web page for use by broader community
    - Provide limited interactive query tools if desired (examples within NOMAD)
    - Contribution to THORPEX goals for use by less developed nations

# **ENSEMBLE PRODUCTS - FUNCTIONALITIES**

List of centrally/locally/interactively generated products required by NCEP Service Centers for each functionality are provided in attached tables (eg., *MSLP*, *Z*,*T*,*U*,*V*,*RH*, etc, at 925,850,700,500, 400, 300, 250, 100, etc hPa)

	FUNCTIONALITY	CENTRALLY GENERATED	LOCALLY GENERATED	INTERACTIVE ACCESS
1	Mean of selected members			
2	Spread of selected members			
3	Median of selected values			
4	Lowest value in selected members			
5	Highest value in selected members			
6	Range between lowest and highest values			
7	Univariate exceedance probabilities for a selectable threshold value			
8	Multivariate (up to 5) exceedance probabilities for a selectable threshold value			
9	Forecast value associated with selected univariate percentile value			
10	Tracking center of maxima or minima in a gridded field (eg – low pressure centers)			
11	Objective grouping of members			
12	Plot Frequency / Fitted probability density function at selected location/time (lower priority)			
13	Plot Frequency / Fitted probability density as a function of forecast lead time, at selected location (lower priority)			

#### Additional basic GUI functionalities:

- Ability to manually select/identify members
- Ability to weight selected members

#### Potentially useful functionalities that need further development:

- Mean/Spread/Median/Ranges for amplitude of specific features
- Mean/Spread/Median/Ranges for phase of specific features

# **ENSEMBLE VERIFICATION – CURRENT STATUS**

For lack of time, this topic was not discussed at the workshop

- Global ensemble verification package used since 1995
  - Comprehensive verification stats computed against analysis fields
  - Inter-comparison with other NWP centers
- Regional (SREF) verification package
  - Basic measures computed routinely since 1998
  - Probabilistic measures being developed independently from global ensemble
- Issues
  - Need to unify computation of global regional ensemble verification measures
  - Unified framework must facilitate wide-scale national/international collaboration:
    - North American Ensemble Forecast System (collaboration with Met. Service Canada)
    - THORPEX International Research Program
    - WRF meso-scale ensemble developmental and operational activities
  - Facilitate wider community input in further development/enhancements
    - How to establish basis for collaboration with NCAR, statistical community, etc

# **ENSEMBLE VERIFICATION - RECOMMENDATIONS**

## • Design unified and modular ensemble/probabilistic verification framework

- Data handling/storage
  - Use standard WMO file formats as ensemble data input
  - Allow non-standardized user/site specific procedures
- Computation of statistics
  - Establish required software functionalities (scripts) and verification statistics (codes)
  - Jointly develop and share scripts/subroutines with standard input/output fields
  - Improvements to common infrastructure benefit all
  - Comparable scientific results, independent of investigators
- Access/display of output statistics
  - Explore if standard output file format(s) feasible? Use text or FVSB-type files?
  - Develop/adapt display software for interactive interrogation of output statistics
    - Examples: FVS display system; FSL approach to WRF verification
- Develop and implement new verification framework
  - Utilize existing software and infrastructure where possible
  - Direct all internal ensemble-related verification efforts toward new framework
  - Share work with interested collaborators
    - Meteorological Service of Canada (subroutines, L. Wilson and colleagues)
    - FSL (display tools, A. Laugh)
  - Make new software available to national/international community
    - Coordinate further development with wider community (WMO, etc input)

## ENSEMBLE VERIFICATION – DESIGN SPECIFICATIONS Compute statistics selected from list of available

- Point-wise measures, including:
  - RMS, PAC for individual members, mean, median
  - Measures of reliability (Talagrand, spread vs. error, reliability components of Brier, RPSS, etc)
  - Measures of resolution (ROC, info content, resol. comps. of BSS, RPSS, potential econ.value, etc)
  - Combined measures of reliability/resolution (BSS, RPSS, etc)
- Multivariate statistics (e.g., PECA, etc)
- Variables & lead times make all available that are used from ensemble

## Aggregate statistics as chosen in time and space

- Select time periods
- Select spatial domain (pre-designed or user specified areas)

## Verify against observational data or analysis fields

- Scripts running verification codes should handle verification data issues
- Use same subroutines to compute statistics in either case
- Account for effect of observational/analysis uncertainty?

## • Define forecast/verification events by either

- Observed/analyzed climatology, e.g., 10 percentile thresholds in climate distribution
  - Automatically compute thresholds for forecast values
- User specified thresholds automatically compute corresponding climate percentiles
- Ensemble members (like in Talagrand stats) compute climate percentiles

## • Facilitate the use of benchmarks:

- Climatology, persistence, or specified prior forecast data set

## Prioritize and find balance between

- Flexibility vs. complexity; operational vs. research use, etc

# ENSEMBLE TRAINING

- CURRENT STATUS:
  - NCEP Training Material available since 2002 (P. Manousos)
  - COMET professional training module to be released soon (B. Bua)
    - Includes winter weather, severe weather, and general weather forecasting problems
  - Webcast module based on COMAP presentation by D. Bright (by 09/2004, B. Bua)
  - NWS WFO teletraining using VISITView (B. Bua, proposed)
    - Practical use of ensembles
- ISSUES:
  - Official NWS training opportunities/requirements not established
  - Training for professional national, international, and lay users needed
  - Share training resources nationally/internationally

## • RECOMMENDATIONS:

- Establish NWS formal ensemble training requirements
- Consider organizing AMS Ensemble Training Workshops
  - Practicing broadcast etc meteorologists
  - Emergency managers
- Share training material on national/international level
  - Establish NWS OS FAQ on ensembles
  - NCEP/HPC International Desk Spanish/Portugese translations of existing material
  - Exchange ideas/material with WMO Ensemble Training initiative
  - Contribute to Socio-Economic Applications part of intl. THORPEX research program