5th NAEFS Workshop. Cuernavaca, May17, 2010

Weeks 3-6 GEFS: Uncoupled model experiments

MOTIVATION, STATUS AND PLANS

Malaquias Peña, Yuejian Zhu, Richard Wobus, Dingchen Hou *EMC/ NCEP/ NOAA* Zoltan Toth GSD/ ESRL / NOAA



- Significant ~3-day gain in last 5 yrs at day 12 (same 0.3 AC score)
 - Estimated 8-day gain at day 12 since 1994
- Time to extend integrations period beyond 16 days

Factors of Improvement

• GFS model alone has undergone major skill improvements over the years



- Increasing ensemble size, resolution
- Refinements in ensemble perturbation scheme
 - Breeding to Ensemble Transform with Rescaling (ETR)

EXTENSION OF GEFS TO 35 DAYS - Rationale

- Skill in intra-seasonal time scale originates from both
 - Initial condition + dynamical projection of fast system (atmosphere)
 - Initial condition + dynamical projection of slow system (ocean
- Uncoupled GEFS extension exploits fully only first source of predictability
 - Slow system considered through persisted SSTA

Questions:

- How far information from initial state is retained in the uncoupled system?
- How much and where lagged ensemble forecasts benefits skill for long leads

2m T CRPS NH Land Only



Lagged 30days GEFS to form Super-ensemble z500 CRPS Quasi-global (85S-85N) **Experimental** 60 30-days 55 forecasts 50 ~3 m Time average 6Nov-19Nov (14 days) 45 40 35 plus 48h lag (60 mem meters plus 24h Lag (40 mem) 30 GEFS (20 mem) 25 20 ~9 days 15 10 5 d -20 22 26 12 14 16 18 24 Ż Â 8 10 28 Û. lead time (units=24hrs)

We expect a larger number of ensemble members will produce a rapid damp to climatology

Lagged GEFS to form super-ensemble



EXAMPLE FROM CPC MJO OUTLOOK PAGE GEFS WEEK-2





Comparison with operational CFS

- Extension of uncoupled GEFS
 - From 16 days to 35 days at T126 resolution
 - Once a day (at 00Z)
- Users
 - CPC
 - INFORM project (CA hydro consortium)
- Reference is operational CFS
 - Only operationally available numerical guidance
 - 20 latest lagged ensemble
 - Bias corrected using ~25 year CFS hind-casts
 - Verified against its own analysis
- Evaluation periods Aug-Sept & Nov-Dec 2007
- Conservative estimates as GEFS scores reflect
 - No bias correction (lack of human / disc resources)
 - Resolution degraded to 2.5 degree lat/lon (disc space limitations)
 - No hires for 2nd week integration (reflects initial testing)
 - No stochastic perturbations for Nov-Dec 07 period (reflects initial testing)
- Results
 - 2m temp ~10 day gain in skill in winter
 - MJO ~5+ day gain in skill



Plan: Merging weather and seasonal ensemble forecasts

- Assumption: separate systems for weather and climate
 - True for a number of years
 - Weather forecast systems update frequently
 - Climate forecast systems are frozen for several years
- Users would prefer a seamless weather-climate prediction

Possible approach

- 1. Use common procedures for both systems to infer statistics
- 2. Merge information from the systems over the transition period
- 3. Adjust ensemble members from each system

Schematic



Systematic Error patterns



Forecast initial month and years: January, 1982-1998

Develop suitable bias correction scheme for real-time applications

- Decaying average method is not suitable for such a long range
- Necessary to produce hindcast datasets to improve quality
 - Test other methods, including Bayesian methods
- Ideally, the scheme should be consistent with CFS bias correction scheme

Forecast initial month and years: January, 1982-1998



Remarks

- Several long (30 days and beyond) range GEFS forecast sets have been generated to assess the skill of the uncoupled forecast system
- Lag super-ensemble forecasts benefits skill at long leads
- Performance creates a benchmark for subseasonal forecasts to compare with coupled runs
- Work in progress to create a seamless 1 day to 6 weeks and seasonal forecasts
- Several issues:
 - Larger computer resources
 - Real time hindcast for Bias correction